

Cesàro Summability of Two-Parameter Walsh–Fourier Series

Ferenc Weisz

*Department of Numerical Analysis, Eötvös L. University,
H-1088 Budapest, Múzeum krt. 6-8, Hungary
E-mail: weisz@ludens.elte.hu*

Communicated by R. Nessel

Received October 11, 1994; accepted February 27, 1996

A new atomic decomposition of the two-parameter dyadic martingale Hardy spaces H_p defined by the quadratic variation is given. We introduce H_p -quasi-local operators and prove that if a sublinear operator V is H_p -quasi-local and bounded from L_2 to L_2 then it is also bounded from H_p to L_p ($0 < p \leq 1$). By an interpolation theorem we get that V is of weak type $(H_1^\#, L_1)$ where the Hardy space $H_1^\#$ is defined by the hybrid maximal function. As an application it is shown that the maximal operator of the Cesàro means of a two-parameter martingale is bounded from H_p to L_p ($4/5 < p \leq \infty$) and is of weak type $(H_1^\#, L_1)$. So we obtain that the Cesàro means of a function $f \in H_1^\#$ converge a.e. to the function in question. Finally, it is verified that if the supremum is taken over all two-powers, only, then the maximal operator of the Cesàro means is bounded from H_p to L_p for every $2/3 < p \leq \infty$. © 1997 Academic Press

1. INTRODUCTION

For double trigonometric Fourier series Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [16] proved that the Cesàro means $\sigma_{n,m}f$ of a function $f \in L_1$ converge a.e. to f as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ provided that the pairs (n, m) are in a positive cone, i.e., provided that $m/n \leq \alpha$ and $n/m \leq \alpha$. This result for double Walsh–Fourier series is verified by the author [25].

It is known that, for double Walsh–Fourier series, $\sigma_{n,m}f \rightarrow f$ in L_p norm as $\min(n, m) \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $f \in L_p$ for some $1 \leq p < \infty$. Moreover, if $1 < p < \infty$ then the convergence holds a.e., too (see Weisz [28]). Móricz *et al.* [18] have proved that if $f \in L \log L$ then the Cesàro summability holds.

The Hardy–Lorentz spaces $H_{p,q}^\square$ and $H_{p,q}$ of two-parameter martingales on the unit square are defined by the $L_{p,q}$ Lorentz norms of the diagonal maximal function $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f_{n,n}|$ and of the two-parameter quadratic variation ($0 < p, q \leq \infty$), respectively. Of course, $H_p^\square = H_{p,p}^\square$ and $H_p = H_{p,p}$ are the usual Hardy spaces ($0 < p \leq \infty$). Note that $H_{p,q} \subset H_{p,q}^\square$.

The following maximal operators of the Cesàro means are to be investigated: σ^*f , resp. σf , is defined by the supremum over \mathbf{N}^2 of $|\sigma_{n,m}f|$, resp. $|\sigma_{2^n, 2^m}f|$. Let $\sigma^\alpha f$ be the supremum over a positive cone of $|\sigma_{n,m}f|$. In the one-dimensional case it is known that σ^* is bounded from H_1 to L_1 and is of weak type (L_1, L_1) , i.e.,

$$\sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha \lambda(\sigma^*f > \alpha) \leq C \|f\|_1$$

whenever $f \in L_1$ (see Fujii [12] and Schipp [21]). It was proved by Móricz *et al.* [18] that the operator σ is of weak type $(H_1^\#, L_1)$ where $H_1^\#$ is defined by the expectation of the hybrid maximal function $\sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |f_{n, \infty}|$. Moreover, σ^* is bounded from L_p to L_p ($1 < p < \infty$) and σ^α is bounded from $H_{p,q}^\square$ to $L_{p,q}$ for $1/2 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ and is of weak type (L_1, L_1) (see Weisz [28] and [25]).

In this paper we extend these results. A new atomic decomposition of H_p is given; more exactly, the H_p -atoms are decomposed into the sum of "elementary (rectangle) particles." By this theorem, in the definition of the H_p -quasi-local operators it is enough to take rectangle H_p -atoms. An operator V is H_p -quasi-local ($0 < p \leq 1$) if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every rectangle H_p -atom a and for every $r \geq 1$ the integral of $|Va|^p$ over $[0, 1]^2 \setminus R^r$ is less than $C_p 2^{-\delta r}$ where the dyadic rectangle R is the support of a and R^r is the 2^r -fold dilation of R . With the help of Journé's covering lemma [15] we show that a sublinear and H_p -quasi-local operator V which is bounded from L_2 to L_2 is also bounded from H_p to L_p ($0 < p \leq 1$). We get with interpolation that V is bounded from $H_{p,q}$ to $L_{p,q}$ ($0 < p < 2$, $0 < q \leq \infty$) as well and is of weak type $(H_1^\#, L_1)$. The analogous results for the classical Hardy space are due to Chang and Fefferman [7, 8].

It will be shown that σ^* is H_p -quasi-local for each $4/5 < p \leq 1$. Consequently, σ^* is bounded from $H_{p,q}$ to $L_{p,q}$ for $4/5 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, and is of weak type $(H_1^\#, L_1)$. A usual density argument implies that $\sigma_{n,m}f \rightarrow f$ a.e. as $\min(n, m) \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $f \in H_1^\#$. Finally, it is proved that the operator σ is H_p -quasi-local for each $2/3 < p \leq 1$ and so, by interpolation, it is bounded from $H_{p,q}$ to $L_{p,q}$ for every $2/3 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$.

2. MARTINGALES AND HARDY SPACES

For a set $\mathbf{X} \neq \emptyset$ let \mathbf{X}^2 be its Cartesian product $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$ taken with itself. An element from \mathbf{N}^2 will be denoted by (n, m) or simple by n . In this paper the unit square $[0, 1]^2$ and the two-dimensional Lebesgue measure λ are to be considered.

By a *dyadic interval* we mean one of the form $[k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n})$ for some $k, n \in \mathbf{N}$, $0 \leq k < 2^n$. Given $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in [0, 1)$ let $I_n(x)$ denote the dyadic interval of length 2^{-n} which contains x . The Cartesian product of two dyadic intervals is said to be a *dyadic rectangle*. Clearly, the dyadic rectangle of area $2^{-n} \times 2^{-m}$ containing $(x, y) \in [0, 1)^2$ is given by

$$I_{n,m}(x, y) := I_n(x) \times I_m(y).$$

The σ -algebra generated by the dyadic rectangles $\{I_{n,m}(x): x \in [0, 1)^2\}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{n,m}$ ($n, m \in \mathbf{N}$), more precisely,

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,m} = \sigma\{[k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n}) \times [l2^{-m}, (l+1)2^{-m}): 0 \leq k < 2^n, 0 \leq l < 2^m\}$$

where $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the σ -algebra generated by an arbitrary set system \mathcal{H} .

Introduce the following σ -algebras:

$$\mathcal{F}_{n_1, \infty} := \sigma\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{n_1, k}\right), \quad \mathcal{F}_{\infty, n_2} := \sigma\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{k, n_2}\right) \quad (n = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbf{N}^2).$$

The expectation and the conditional expectation operators relative to \mathcal{F}_n , $\mathcal{F}_{n_1, \infty}$, and $\mathcal{F}_{\infty, n_2}$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}^2$) are denoted by E , E_n , $E_{n_1, \infty}$, and E_{∞, n_2} , respectively. We briefly write L_p or $L_p[0, 1)^2$ instead of the real $L_p([0, 1)^2, \lambda)$ space while the norm (or quasinorm) of this space is defined by $\|f\|_p := (E|f|^p)^{1/p}$ ($0 < p \leq \infty$). For simplicity, we assume that for a function $f \in L_1$ we have $E_{n,0}f = E_{0,n}f = 0$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$).

An integrable sequence $f = (f_n, n \in \mathbf{N}^2)$ is said to be a *martingale* if

- (i) it is *adapted*, i.e., f_n is \mathcal{F}_n measurable for all $n \in \mathbf{N}^2$
- (ii) $E_n f_m = f_n$ for all $n \leq m$, where for $n = (n_1, n_2)$, $m = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbf{N}^2$, $n \leq m$ means that $n_1 \leq m_1$ and $n_2 \leq m_2$.

For simplicity, we always suppose that for a martingale f we have $f_n = 0$ if $n_1 = 0$ or $n_2 = 0$. Of course, the theorems that are to be proved later are true with a slight modification without this condition, too.

The martingale $f = (f_n, n \in \mathbf{N}^2)$ is said to be L_p -*bounded* ($0 < p \leq \infty$) if $f_n \in L_p$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}^2$) and

$$\|f\|_p := \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}^2} \|f_n\|_p < \infty.$$

If $f \in L_1$ then it is easy to show that the sequence $\tilde{f} = (E_n f, n \in \mathbf{N}^2)$ is a martingale. Moreover, if $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L_p$ then \tilde{f} is L_p -bounded and

$$\lim_{\min(n_1, n_2) \rightarrow \infty} \|E_n f - f\|_p = 0,$$

consequently,

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_p = \|f\|_p$$

(see Neveu [19]). The converse of the latest proposition holds also if $1 < p < \infty$ (see Neveu [19]): for an arbitrary martingale $f = (f_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^2)$ there exists a function $g \in L_p$ for which $f_n = E_n g$ if and only if f is L_p -bounded. If $p = 1$ then there exists a function $g \in L_1$ of the preceding type if and only if f is *uniformly integrable* (see Neveu [19]), namely, if

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^2} \int_{\{|f_n| > y\}} |f_n| dP = 0.$$

Thus the map $f \mapsto \tilde{f} := (E_n f, n \in \mathbb{N}^2)$ is isometric from L_p onto the space of L_p -bounded martingales when $1 < p < \infty$. Consequently, these two spaces can be identified with each other. Similarly, the L_1 space can be identified with the space of uniformly integrable martingales. For this reason a function $f \in L_1$ and the corresponding martingale $(E_n f, n \in \mathbb{N}^2)$ will be denoted by the same symbol f .

The distribution function of a Borel measurable function f is defined by

$$\lambda(\{|f| > \alpha\}) := \lambda(\{x: |f(x)| > \alpha\}) \quad (\alpha \geq 0).$$

The *weak* L_p space L_p^* ($0 < p < \infty$) consists of all measurable functions f for which

$$\|f\|_{L_p^*} := \sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha \lambda(\{|f| > \alpha\})^{1/p} < \infty$$

while we set $L_\infty^* = L_\infty$.

The spaces L_p^* are special cases of the more general Lorentz spaces $L_{p,q}$. In their definition another concept is used. For a measurable function f the *non-increasing rearrangement* is defined by

$$\tilde{f}(t) := \inf\{\alpha: \lambda(\{|f| > \alpha\}) \leq t\}.$$

Lorentz space $L_{p,q}$ is defined as follows: for $0 < p < \infty, 0 < q < \infty$,

$$\|f\|_{p,q} := \left(\int_0^\infty \tilde{f}(t)^q t^{q/p} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}$$

while for $0 < p \leq \infty$

$$\|f\|_{p,\infty} := \sup_{t > 0} t^{1/p} \tilde{f}(t).$$

Let

$$L_{p,q} := L_{p,q}([0, 1]^2, \lambda) := \{f: \|f\|_{p,q} < \infty\}.$$

One can show the following equalities:

$$L_{p,p} = L_p, \quad L_{p,\infty} = L_p^* \quad (0 < p \leq \infty)$$

(see e.g. Bennett and Sharpley [1] or Bergh and Löfström [2]).

The *maximal function* and *hybrid maximal function* of a martingale $f = (f_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ are defined by

$$f^* := \sup_{n, m \in \mathbf{N}} |f_{n,m}|, \quad f^\# := \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |f_{n,\infty}|.$$

It is easy to see that, in the case $f \in L_1$, the maximal functions can also be given by

$$f^*(x, y) = \sup_{n, m \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{\lambda(I_{n,m}(x, y))} \left| \int_{I_{n,m}(x, y)} f d\lambda \right|$$

and

$$f^\#(x, y) = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{\lambda(I_n(x))} \left| \int_{I_n(x)} f(t, y) dt \right|,$$

respectively.

We define the *martingale differences* by

$$d_n f := f_{m_1, n_2} - f_{m_1-1, n_2} - f_{m_1, n_2-1} + f_{m_1-1, n_2-1} \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}^2)$$

and $d_{k,0} f = f_{0,k} f = 0$ ($k \in \mathbf{N}$).

It is easy to show that $(d_n f, n \in \mathbf{N}^2)$ is an integrable and adapted sequence. Moreover, one can conclude that

$$E_n d_m f = 0 \quad (n \not\leq m). \quad (1)$$

Conversely, if an integrable and adapted function sequence $(d_n, n \in \mathbf{N}^2)$ has the property (1) then $(f_n, n \in \mathbf{N}^2)$ is a martingale where $f_n := \sum_{m \leq n} d_m$.

The *quadratic variation* of a martingale f is introduced with

$$S(f) := \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}^2} |d_n f|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

It was proved by Brossard [4, 5] and Metraux [17] that

$$\|S(f)\|_p \sim \|f^*\|_p \quad (0 < p < \infty) \tag{2}$$

where \sim denotes the equivalence of the norms. The equivalences

$$\|f^*\|_p \sim \|f^\# \|_p \sim \|f\|_p \quad (1 < p \leq \infty) \tag{3}$$

follow from Doob's inequality (see Neveu [19], Cairoli [6]). For an arbitrary function $f \in L_1$ we have

$$\sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha \lambda(f^* > \alpha) \leq \|f^\# \|_1 \tag{4}$$

and

$$\sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha \lambda(S(f) > \alpha) \leq C \|f^\# \|_1. \tag{5}$$

Note that (4) was proved by Weisz [27] and (5) by Frangos and Imkeller [11]. On the right-hand sides of (4) and (5), $\|f^\# \|_1$ cannot be replaced by $\|f\|_1$; counterexamples can be found for the first case in Cairoli [6] and for the second case in Imkeller [14].

For $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ the *martingale Hardy-Lorentz spaces* $H_{p,q}$ and $H_{p,q}^\#$ consist of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_{p,q}} := \|S(f)\|_{p,q} < \infty$$

and

$$\|f\|_{H_{p,q}^\#} := \|f^\# \|_{p,q} < \infty,$$

respectively. In case $p = q$ the usual definitions of Hardy spaces $H_{p,p} = H_p$ and $H_{p,p}^\# = H_p^\#$ are obtained. Note that it is unknown whether $H_{p,q}$ can be defined with f^* . We verified in [27] that

$$H_{p,q} \sim L_{p,q} \quad (1 < p < \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty).$$

Recall that $L \log L \subset H_1^\#$, more exactly,

$$E(f^\#) \leq C + CE(|f| \log^+ |f|)$$

where $\log^+ u = 1_{\{u > 1\}} \log u$ (see Garsia [13]).

The following interpolation result concerning Hardy-Lorentz spaces will be used several times in this paper (see Weisz [26] and [27]).

THEOREM A. *If a sublinear operator V is bounded from H_{p_0} to L_{p_0} and from H_{p_1} to L_{p_1} then it is also bounded from $H_{p,q}$ to $L_{p,q}$ if $p_0 < p < p_1$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$.*

3. QUASI-LOCAL OPERATORS

The atomic decomposition of the Hardy spaces in the two-parameter case is much more complicated than in the one-parameter case. One reason for this is that the support of a two-parameter atom is not a dyadic interval or square but an open set. This was proved in Bernard [3] and Weisz [27]. However, we now give a finer atomic decomposition and decompose the atoms into “elementary (rectangle) particles.”

First of all we introduce some notations. Suppose $F \subset [0, 1]^2$ is open with respect to the topology induced by the dyadic rectangles, which means F is the union of countably many dyadic rectangles. Denote by $\mathcal{M}(F)$ the maximal dyadic subrectangles of F . Let $\mathcal{M}_1(F)$ denote those dyadic subrectangles $R \subset F$, $R = I \times J$ that are maximal in the x direction. In other words, if $S = I' \times J \supset R$ is a dyadic subrectangle of F then $I = I'$. Define $\mathcal{M}_2(F)$ similarly.

A function $a \in L_2$ is an H_p -atom if

$$(i) \quad \text{supp } a \subset F \text{ for an open set } F \subset [0, 1]^2$$

$$(ii) \quad \|a\|_2 \leq \lambda(F)^{1/2-1/p}$$

(iii) a can be further decomposed into the sum of “elementary particles” $a_R \in L_2$ ($R \in \mathcal{M}(F)$) in the sense of

$$E_{n,m}a = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} E_{n,m}a_R \quad \text{a.e. for all } n, m \in \mathbf{N},$$

satisfying

$$(\alpha) \quad \text{supp } a_R \subset R \subset F$$

$$(\beta) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in [0, 1) \text{ and } R \in \mathcal{M}(F),$$

$$\int_0^1 a_R(x, y) dx = \int_0^1 a_R(x, y) dy = 0$$

$$(\gamma) \quad \left(\sum_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} \|a_R\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \lambda(F)^{1/2-1/p}.$$

If $a \in L_2$ satisfies (i) with a dyadic rectangle F , (ii), and (β) then a is called a *rectangle H_p -atom*.

Now the atomic decomposition of H_p is formulated.

THEOREM 1. *A martingale $f = (f_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ is in H_p ($0 < p \leq 1$) if and only if there exist a sequence $(a^k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of H_p -atoms and a sequence $(\mu_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of real numbers such that*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k E_{n,m} a^k = f_{n,m} \quad \text{for all } n, m \in \mathbf{N} \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p < \infty.$$

Moreover, the following equivalence of norms holds:

$$\|f\|_{H_p} \sim \inf \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \tag{7}$$

where the infimum is taken over all decompositions of f of the form (6).

Proof. It is proved in Weisz [27] that there exist functions $a^k \in L_2$ satisfying (i) and (ii) and real numbers μ_k ($k \in \mathbf{N}$) such that (6) and one side of (7), more exactly, the inequality

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_p \|f\|_{H_p},$$

hold.

Denote one of the functions a^k by a . Let F be the support of a . It is also verified in Weisz [27] that there exists a non-decreasing sequence $(F_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ of sets, which means $F_{k,l} \subset F_{n,m}$ if $k \leq n$ and $l \leq m$, such that

$$F_{n,m} \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1, m-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{n, m \in \mathbf{N}} F_{n,m} = F.$$

Moreover,

$$a = \sum_{n, m \in \mathbf{N}} 1_{F_{n,m}} d_{n,m} a.$$

Equation (1) implies that the martingale difference are orthogonal, so we have

$$\|a\|_2^2 = E \left(\sum_{n, m \in \mathbf{N}} 1_{F_{n,m}} |d_{n,m} a|^2 \right). \tag{8}$$

Since $F_{n,m} \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1, m-1}$, it can be decomposed into a finite union of dyadic rectangles $F_{n,m}^k$, i.e.,

$$F_{n,m} = \bigcup_k F_{n,m}^k$$

with $F_{n,m}^k \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1, m-1}$.

To each $F_{n,m}^k$ we associate a maximal dyadic subrectangle $\hat{F}_{n,m}^k$ of F , i.e., $\hat{F}_{n,m}^k \in \mathcal{M}(F)$, such that $F_{n,m}^k \subset \hat{F}_{n,m}^k$. For $R \in \mathcal{M}(F)$ let

$$a_R := \sum_{n,m \in \mathbf{N}} \sum_{k: F_{n,m}^k = R} 1_{F_{n,m}^k} d_{n,m} a.$$

It is easy to see that this sum converges a.e. and also in L_2 norm. Obviously,

$$E_{n,m} a = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} E_{n,m} a_R \quad (n, m \in \mathbf{N})$$

since the sum of the right-hand side is finite for each $(n, m) \in \mathbf{N}^2$. Note that

$$a = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} a_R \quad \text{in } L_2$$

because of the orthogonality of the martingale differences. Since $F_{n,m}^k \subset R$, (α) is obvious. If $R \in \mathcal{F}_{N-1, M-1}$ then (1) implies that

$$E_{k,l} a_R = 0 \quad \text{for all } (k, l) \not\leq (N, M).$$

Henceforth

$$E_{k,\infty} a_R = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \leq N-1.$$

This yields that

$$\int_0^1 a_R(x, y) dx = 0.$$

The other equation of (β) can be proved in the same way. Using the orthogonality of the martingale difference and the fact that the sets

$F_{n,m}^k$ are disjoint for each fixed $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and (8), we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{R \in \mathcal{H}(F)} \|a_R\|_2^2 &= \sum_{R \in \mathcal{H}(F)} E \left(\sum_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k: \hat{F}_{n,m}^k = R} 1_{F_{n,m}^k} |d_{n,m}a|^2 \right) \\ &= E \left(\sum_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k 1_{F_{n,m}^k} |d_{n,m}a|^2 \right) \\ &= \|a\|_2^2 \leq \lambda(F)^{1-2/p} \end{aligned}$$

which proves (γ).

For the other side of (7) we prove that if a is an H_p -atom then

$$\|a\|_{H_p} \leq 1 \quad (0 < p \leq 1).$$

Indeed, from the definition of the atom it follows that

$$d_{n,m}a = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{H}(F)} d_{n,m}a_R \quad (n, m \in \mathbb{N})$$

and

$$\text{supp } d_{n,m}a_R \subset R.$$

Hence

$$\text{supp } S(a) \subset F.$$

Applying (2), (3), and Hölder's inequality we have

$$E(S^p(a)) \leq [E(S^2(a))]^{p/2} \lambda(F)^{1-p/2} \leq 1.$$

Assume that $0 < p \leq 1$ and f has a decomposition of the form (6). It is easy to check that in this case

$$S(f) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k| S(a^k). \tag{9}$$

Consequently,

$$E[S^p(f)] \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p E[S^p(a^k)] \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p \tag{10}$$

holds, which finishes the proof of the theorem. ■

Note that H_p cannot be decomposed into rectangle H_p -atoms; a counter-example can be found in Weisz [27].

The analogue of this theorem in the classical case was shown by Fefferman [8].

Motivated by the definition in Móricz et al. [18] and Fefferman [8] we introduce the H_p -quasi-local operators. For each dyadic interval I let I^r ($r \in \mathbb{N}$) be the dyadic interval for which $I \subset I^r$ and

$$\lambda(I^r) = 2^r \lambda(I).$$

If $R := I \times J$ is a dyadic rectangle then set

$$R^r := I^r \times J^r.$$

Although H_p cannot be decomposed into rectangle atoms, in the definition of quasi-local operators it is enough to take these atoms.

An operator V , which maps the set of martingales into the collection of measurable functions, is called H_p -quasi-local if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every rectangle H_p -atom a supported on the dyadic rectangle R and for every $r \geq 1$ one has

$$\int_{[0,1]^2 \setminus R^r} |Va|^p d\lambda \leq C_p 2^{-\delta r}.$$

Before stating the main result of this section we recall Journé’s covering lemma in one of its forms. Opposed to the one-parameter case, an open subset of $[0, 1]^2$ cannot be decomposed into disjoint maximal dyadic rectangles, however the following lemma holds.

LEMMA 1 (Journé [15]). *Assume that F is an open subset of $[0, 1]^2$ and $R = I \times J$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_2(F)$. Let $F_1 := \{(1_F)^* > 1/2\}$ and \hat{I} be the maximal dyadic interval containing I such that $\hat{I} \times J \subset F_1$, i.e., $\hat{I} \times J \in \mathcal{M}_1(F_1)$. Set*

$$\gamma_1(R, F) := \frac{\lambda(\hat{I})}{\lambda(I)}.$$

Define $\gamma_2(R, F)$ similarly. Then

$$\lambda \left(\bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{M}_2(F)} \hat{I} \times J \right) \leq C \lambda(F) \tag{11}$$

and

$$\sum_{R \in \mathcal{M}_2(F)} \gamma_1(R, F)^{-\delta} \lambda(R) \leq C_\delta \lambda(F), \tag{12}$$

for every $\delta > 0$ where C_δ depends only on δ , not on F .

Of course there is a symmetric form of this lemma for rectangles in $\mathcal{M}_1(F)$.

Note that (11) follows easily from Markov's inequality and from (3):

$$\lambda(F_1) \leq 4E[(1_F)^{*2}] \leq CE(1_F^2) = C\lambda(F). \tag{13}$$

Journé's lemma is extended to higher dimensions by Pipher [20].

The following result says that for an operator V to be bounded from H_p to L_p ($0 < p \leq 1$) it is enough to check V on rectangle H_p -atoms and the boundedness of V on L_2 .

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that the operator V is sublinear and H_p -quasi-local for some $0 < p \leq 1$. If V is bounded from L_2 to L_2 then*

$$\|Vf\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_{H_p} \quad (f \in H_p).$$

Proof. Similarly to (9) and (10) it is enough to show that if a is an H_p -atom then

$$\|Va\|_p \leq C_p. \tag{14}$$

Let a be an H_p -atom with support F . Set

$$F_1 := \{(1_F)^* > 1/2\} \quad \text{and} \quad F_2 := \{(1_{F_1})^* > 1/2\}.$$

As in (13), we have

$$\lambda(F_2) \leq C\lambda(F_1) \leq C\lambda(F).$$

Given a dyadic rectangle $R = I \times J \in \mathcal{M}(F)$ define the dyadic interval \hat{I} such that

$$\hat{I} \supset I \quad \text{and} \quad R' := \hat{I} \times J \in \mathcal{M}_1(F_1).$$

Furthermore define the dyadic interval \hat{J} such that

$$\hat{J} \supset J \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{R} := \hat{I} \times \hat{J} \in \mathcal{M}_2(F_2).$$

Set

$$2^{r_1} := \gamma_1(R, F) := \frac{\lambda(\hat{I})}{\lambda(I)} \quad \text{and} \quad 2^{r_2} := \gamma_2(R', F_1) := \frac{\lambda(\hat{J})}{\lambda(J)}.$$

Take the decomposition

$$a = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} a_R$$

as in Theorem 1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} \hat{R}} |Va|^p d\lambda &\leq \lambda \left(\bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} \hat{R} \right)^{1-p/2} \left(\int_{[0,1]^2} |Va|^2 d\lambda \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq \lambda(F_2)^{1-p/2} \lambda(F)^{p/2-1} \leq C_p. \end{aligned}$$

So we have to consider

$$\int_{[0,1]^2 \setminus \bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} \hat{R}} |Va|^p d\lambda \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} \int_{[0,1]^2 \setminus \hat{R}} |Va_R|^p d\lambda.$$

Obviously,

$$\int_{[0,1]^2 \setminus \hat{R}} |Va_R|^p d\lambda \leq \int_{([0,1] \setminus \hat{I}) \times [0,1]} |Va_R|^p d\lambda + \int_{[0,1] \times ([0,1] \setminus \hat{J})} |Va_R|^p d\lambda.$$

Observe that

$$\int_{([0,1] \setminus \hat{I}) \times [0,1]} |Va_R|^p d\lambda \leq \int_{[0,1]^2 \setminus (\hat{I} \times J^{r_1})} |Va_R|^p d\lambda = \int_{[0,1]^2 \setminus R^{r_1}} |Va_R|^p d\lambda.$$

Since

$$\frac{a_R}{\|a_R\|_2} \lambda(R)^{1/2-1/p}$$

is a rectangle H_p -atom, we have by the H_p -quasi-locality that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{([0,1] \setminus \hat{I}) \times [0,1]} |Va_R|^p d\lambda &\leq C_p 2^{-\delta r_1} \|a_R\|_2^p \lambda(R)^{1-p/2} \\ &= C_p \gamma_1(R, F)^{-\delta} \|a_R\|_2^p \lambda(R)^{1-p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality and Journé's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} \int_{([\!0, 1] \setminus \mathcal{J}) \times [0, 1)} |Va_R|^p d\lambda \\ & \leq C_p \left(\sum_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} \|a_R\|_2^2 \right)^{p/2} \left(\sum_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} \gamma_1(R, F)^{-2\delta/(2-p)} \lambda(R) \right)^{1-p/2} \\ & \leq C_p \lambda(F)^{p/2-1} \lambda(F)^{1-p/2} = C_p. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} \int_{[0, 1) \times ([0, 1) \setminus \mathcal{J})} |Va_R|^p d\lambda \\ & \leq C_p \sum_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} \gamma_2(R', F_1)^{-\delta} \|a_R\|_2^p \lambda(R)^{1-p/2} \\ & \leq C_p \lambda(F)^{p/2-1} \left(\sum_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} \gamma_2(R', F_1)^{-2\delta/(2-p)} \lambda(R) \right)^{1-p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that if $R_1, R_2 \in \mathcal{M}(F)$ and $R'_1 = R'_2$ then $R_1 \cap R_2 = \emptyset$ or $R_1 = R_2$. Recall that $R' \in \mathcal{M}_1(F_1)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} \gamma_2(R', F_1)^{-2\delta/(2-p)} \lambda(R) &= \sum_{S \in \mathcal{M}_1(F_1)} \left(\sum_{R'=s} \lambda(R) \right) \gamma_2(S, F_1)^{-2\delta/(2-p)} \\ &\leq \sum_{S \in \mathcal{M}_1(F_1)} \lambda(S) \gamma_2(S, F_1)^{-2\delta/(2-p)} \\ &\leq C_p \lambda(F_1) \leq C_p \lambda(F) \end{aligned}$$

where we applied again Journé's lemma and (13). Consequently,

$$\sum_{R \in \mathcal{M}(F)} \int_{[0, 1) \times ([0, 1) \setminus \mathcal{J})} |Va_R|^p d\lambda \leq C_p$$

which proves (14) as well as the theorem. ■

This result in the classical case is due to Fefferman [8].

Theorem A and (5) imply

COROLLARY 1. *Suppose that the sublinear operator V is H_p -quasi-local for each $p_0 < p \leq 1$ ($p_0 < 1$). If V is bounded from L_2 to L_2 then*

$$\|Vf\|_{p,q} \leq C_{p,q} \|f\|_{H_{p,q}} \quad (f \in H_{p,q})$$

for every $p_0 < p < 2$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. Specially, V is of weak type $(H_1^\#, L_1)$, i.e., if $f \in H_1^\#$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Vf\|_{1, \infty} &= \sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha \lambda(|Vf| > \alpha) \leq C_1 \|f\|_{H_1, \infty} \\ &= C_1 \sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha \lambda(S(f) > \alpha) \leq C_1 \|f\|_{H_1^\#}. \end{aligned}$$

4. CESÀRO SUMMABILITY OF DOUBLE WALSH-FOURIER SERIES

First the Walsh system is to be introduced. Every point $x \in [0, 1)$ can be written in the following way:

$$x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{2^{k+1}}, \quad 0 \leq x_k < 2, x_k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

In case there are two different forms, we choose the one for which $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = 0$.

The functions

$$r_n(x) := \exp(\pi x_n \sqrt{-1}) \quad (n \in \mathbf{N})$$

are called *Rademacher functions*.

The product system generated by these functions is the *one-dimensional Walsh system*

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k(x)^{n_k}$$

where $n \in \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k 2^k$, $0 \leq n_k < 2$, and $n_k \in \mathbf{N}$.

The Kronecker product $(w_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ of two Walsh systems is said to be the *two-dimensional Walsh system*. Thus

$$w_{n,m}(x, y) := w_n(x) w_m(y).$$

Recall that the *Walsh-Dirichlet kernels*

$$D_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k$$

satisfy

$$D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n & \text{if } x \in [0, 2^{-n}) \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in [2^{-n}, 1) \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ (see Fine [10]).

If $f \in L_1$ then the number

$$\hat{f}(n, m) := E(fw_{n, m})$$

is said to be the (n, m) th *Walsh–Fourier coefficient* of f ($n, m \in \mathbf{N}$). Let us extend this definition to martingales as well. If $f = (f_{k, l}; k, l \in \mathbf{N})$ is a martingale then let

$$\hat{f}(n, m) := \lim_{\min(k, l) \rightarrow \infty} E(f_{k, l}w_{n, m}) \quad (n, m \in \mathbf{N}).$$

Since $w_{n, m}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{k, k}$ measurable for $n, m < 2^k$, it can immediately be seen that this limit does exist. Note that if $f \in L_1$ then $E_{k, l}f \rightarrow f$ in L_1 norm as $k, l \rightarrow \infty$, hence

$$\hat{f}(n, m) = \lim_{\min(k, l) \rightarrow \infty} E((E_{k, l}f)w_{n, m}) \quad (n, m \in \mathbf{N}).$$

Thus the Walsh–Fourier coefficients of $f \in L_1$ are the same as the ones of the martingale $(E_{k, l}f; k, l \in \mathbf{N})$ obtained from f .

Denote by $s_{n, m}f$ the (n, m) th partial sum of the Walsh–Fourier series of a martingale f , namely,

$$s_{n, m}f := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \hat{f}(k, l) w_{k, l}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$s_{2^n, 2^m}f = f_{n, m}. \tag{16}$$

Recall that the *Walsh–Fejér kernels*

$$K_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n D_k \quad (n \in \mathbf{N})$$

satisfy

$$|K_n(x)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} 2^{j-N} \sum_{i=j}^{N-1} (D_{2^i}(x) + D_{2^i}(x + 2^{-j-1})) \tag{17}$$

for $x \in [0, 1)$, $n, N \in \mathbf{N}$, and $2^{N-1} \leq n < 2^N$, and

$$K_{2^n}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(2^{-n} D_{2^n}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^n 2^{j-n} D_{2^n}(x \dot{+} 2^{-j-1}) \right) \quad (18)$$

for $x \in [0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$, where $\dot{+}$ denotes the dyadic addition (see e.g. Shipp *et al.* [23]). For $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$ and a martingale f the Cesàro mean of order (n, m) of the double Walsh–Fourier series of f is given by

$$\sigma_{n,m} f := \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^m s_{k,l} f.$$

It is simple to show that

$$\sigma_{n,m} f(x, y) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(t, u) K_n(x \dot{+} t) K_m(y \dot{+} u) dt du$$

if $f \in L_1$.

For a martingale f we consider the maximal operators

$$\sigma^* f := \sup_{n, m \in \mathbf{N}} |\sigma_{n,m} f|, \quad \sigma f := \sup_{n, m \in \mathbf{N}} |\sigma_{2^n, 2^m} f|.$$

It is shown in Weisz [28] that

$$\|\sigma^* f\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_p \quad (1 < p \leq \infty). \quad (19)$$

Now we state our main result.

THEOREM 3. *There are absolute constants C and $C_{p,q}$ such that*

$$\|\sigma^* f\|_{p,q} \leq C_{p,q} \|f\|_{H_{p,q}} \quad (f \in H_{p,q}) \quad (20)$$

for every $4/5 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. Especially, if $f \in H_1^\#$ then

$$\lambda(\sigma^* f > \alpha) \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \|f^\#\|_1 \quad (\alpha > 0). \quad (21)$$

Proof. By Corollary 1, (19), and Wolff's interpolation theorem [29] (see also Weisz [27]), the proof of Theorem 3 will be complete if we show that the operator σ^* is H_p -quasi-local for every $4/5 < p \leq 1$.

Let a be a rectangle H_p -atom with support $R = I \times J$ and $\lambda(I) = 2^{-K}$, $\lambda(J) = 2^{-L}$ ($K, L \in \mathbf{N}$). Without loss of generality we can suppose that

$I = [0, 2^{-K})$ and $J = [0, 2^{-L})$. It is easy to see that $\hat{a}(n, m) = 0$ if $n < 2^K$ or $m < 2^L$, so, in this case, $\sigma_{n,m}a = 0$. Therefore we assume that $n \geq 2^K$ and $m \geq 2^L$.

To prove the quasi-locality of σ^* we have to integrate $|\sigma^*a|^p$ over $[0, 1)^2 \setminus R^r$ where $r \geq 1$ is arbitrary. We do this in three steps.

Step 1: Integrating over $([0, 1) \setminus I^r) \times J^r$. If $j \geq K - r$ and $x \notin I^r$ then $x \dot{+} 2^{-j-1} \notin I^r$. Consequently, for $x \notin I^r$ and $i \geq j \geq K - r$ we have

$$a(t, u) D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t) = a(t, u) D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1}) = 0.$$

Recall that $2^N > n \geq 2^{N-1}$, so $N - 1 \geq K$. Henceforth, for $x \notin I^r$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_{n,m}a(x, y)| &\leq \int_I \left| \int_J a(t, u) K_m(y \dot{+} u) du \right| |K_n(x \dot{+} t)| dt \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} 2^{j-N} \sum_{i=j}^{N-1} \int_I \left| \int_J a(t, u) K_m(y \dot{+} u) du \right| \\ &\quad (D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t) + D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1})) dt \\ &\leq C 2^{-K} \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^j \sum_{i=j}^{K-1} \int_I \left| \int_J a(t, u) K_m(y \dot{+} u) du \right| \\ &\quad (D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t) + D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1})) dt \\ &\quad + C \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^j \sum_{i=K}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \int_I \left| \int_J a(t, u) K_m(y \dot{+} u) du \right| \\ &\quad (D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t) + D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1})) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the right hand side is independent of n . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^*a(x, y) &\leq C 2^{-K} \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^j \sum_{i=j}^{K-1} \int_I (D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t) + D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1})) \\ &\quad \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \int_J a(t, u) K_m(y \dot{+} u) du \right| dt \\ &\quad + C \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^j \sum_{i=K}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \int_I (D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t) + D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1})) \\ &\quad \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \int_J a(t, u) K_m(y \dot{+} u) du \right| dt \\ &=: (A) + (B). \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{J^r} (A)^p dy \\ & \leq C_p \lambda(J^r)^{1-p} 2^{-Kp} \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^{jp} \sum_{i=j}^{K-1} \left(\int_I (D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t) + D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1})) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \int_{J^r} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \int_J a(t, u) K_m(y \dot{+} u) du \right| dy dt \right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Using again Hölder inequality and (19) for one dimension and for a fixed t , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{J^r} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \int_J a(t, u) K_m(y \dot{+} u) du \right| dy \\ & \leq \lambda(J^r)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \int_J a(t, u) K_m(y \dot{+} u) du \right|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \lambda(J^r)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 |a(t, y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{J^r} (A)^p dy & \leq C_p \lambda(J^r)^{1-p/2} 2^{-Kp} \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^{jp} \sum_{i=j}^{K-1} \left(\int_I \left(\int_0^1 |a(t, y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t) + D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1})) dt \right)^p \end{aligned}$$

Observe by (15) that

$$1_{[0, 2^{-K}]}(t) D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t) = 2^i 1_{[2^{-K+r}, 2^{-i}]}(x) 1_{[0, 2^{-K}]}(t)$$

and

$$1_{[0, 2^{-K}]}(t) D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1}) = 2^i 1_{[2^{-j-1}, 2^{-j-1} + 2^{-i}]}(x) 1_{[0, 2^{-K}]}(t)$$

if $j \leq i \leq K-1$ and $x \notin [0, 2^{-K+r}]$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{J^r} (A)^p dy & \leq C_p \lambda(J^r)^{1-p/2} 2^{-Kp} \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^{jp} \sum_{i=j}^{K-1} 2^{ip} \left(\int_I \int_0^1 |a(t, y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} dt \Big)^p \\ & \quad \times [1_{[2^{-K+r}, 2^{-i}]}(x) + 1_{[2^{-j-1}, 2^{-j-1} + 2^{-i}]}(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality and the definition of the atom we get that

$$\int_I \left(\int_0^1 |a(t, y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} dt \leq \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |a(t, y)|^2 dy dt \right)^{1/2} 2^{-K/2} \leq 2^{-K/2 + K/p - L/2 + L/p} 2^{-K/2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0, 1] \setminus J^r} \int_{J^r} (A)^p dx dy &\leq C_p 2^{r(1-p/2)} 2^{-2Kp+K} \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^{jp} \sum_{i=j}^{K-1} 2^{i(p-1)} \\ &\leq C_p 2^{r(1-p/2)} 2^{-2Kp+K} \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^{j(2p-1)} \\ &\leq C_p 2^{r(2-5p/2)} \end{aligned}$$

if $p < 1$. For $4/5 < p < 1$ let $\delta := 5p/2 - 2 > 0$. If $p = 1$ then

$$\int_{[0, 1] \setminus J^r} \int_{J^r} (A)^p dx dy \leq C 2^{r/2} \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^{j-K}(K-j) \leq C 2^{r/2} \sum_{k=r+1}^{\infty} k 2^{-k}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=r+1}^{\infty} k 2^{-k} = 2^{-r}(r+2) \leq C_{\gamma} 2^{-r\gamma}$$

for an arbitrary $0 < \gamma < 1$, we have

$$\int_{[0, 1] \setminus J^r} \int_{J^r} (A)^p dx dy \leq C 2^{-r\delta}$$

with $\delta := \gamma - 1/2$. If we choose $1/2 < \gamma < 1$ then $\delta > 0$.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{J^r} (B)^p dy &\leq C_p \lambda(J^r)^{1-p/2} \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^{jp} \sum_{i=K}^{\infty} 2^{-ip} \left(\int_I \left(\int_0^1 |a(t, y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t) + D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1})) dt \right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

If $i \geq K$ and $x \notin [0, 2^{-K+r})$ then

$$1_{[0, 2^{-K})}(t) D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 1_{[0, 2^{-K})}(t) D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1}) \\ &= 2^i \sum_{k=1}^{2^{-K+i}} 1_{[2^{-j-1} + (k-1)2^{-i}, 2^{-j-1} + k2^{-i})}(x) 1_{[(k-1)2^{-i}, k2^{-i})}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{J^r} (B)^p dy \\ & \leq C_p \lambda(J^r)^{1-p/2} \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^{jp} \sum_{i=K}^{\infty} 2^{-ip} 2^{ip} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{-K+i}} 1_{[2^{-j-1} + (k-1)2^{-i}, 2^{-j-1} + k2^{-i})}(x) \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_I \left(\int_0^1 |a(t, y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} 1_{[(k-1)2^{-i}, k2^{-i})}(t) dt \right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality

$$\int_I \left(\int_0^1 |a(t, y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} 1_{[(k-1)2^{-i}, k2^{-i})}(t) dt \leq 2^{-K/2 + K/p - L/2 + L/p} 2^{-i/2}$$

we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[0, 1] \setminus I^r} \int_{J^r} (B)^p dx dy \\ & \leq C_p 2^{r(1-p/2)} 2^{-Kp/2} \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^{jp} \sum_{i=K}^{\infty} 2^{-ip/2} \leq C_p 2^{r(1-3p/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{[0, 1] \setminus I^r} \int_{J^r} |\sigma^* a(x, y)|^p dx dy \leq C_p 2^{-r\delta} \quad (22)$$

with $\delta := 5p/2 - 2 > 0$ if $4/5 < p < 1$ and $\delta := \gamma - 1/2$ ($1/2 < \gamma < 1$) if $p = 1$.

Step 2: Integrating over $([0, 1] \setminus I^r) \times [(0, 1) \setminus J^r]$. Similarly to Step 1 we get for $x \notin I^r$ and $y \notin J^r$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\sigma_{n,m}a(x,y)| \\
 & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^{j-N} \sum_{i=j}^{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{L-r-1} 2^{k-M} \sum_{l=k}^{M-1} \alpha \\
 & \leq C2^{-K} \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^j \sum_{i=j}^{K-1} 2^{-L} \sum_{k=0}^{L-r-1} 2^k \sum_{l=k}^{L-1} \alpha \\
 & \quad + C2^{-K} \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^j \sum_{i=j}^{K-1} \sum_{k=0}^{L-r-1} 2^k \sum_{l=L}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \alpha \\
 & \quad + C \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^j \sum_{i=K}^{\infty} 2^{-i} 2^{-L} \sum_{k=0}^{L-r-1} 2^k \sum_{l=k}^{L-1} \alpha \\
 & \quad + C \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^j \sum_{i=K}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \sum_{k=0}^{L-r-1} 2^k \sum_{l=L}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \alpha \\
 & =: (A) + (B) + (C) + (D)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha := & \int_I \int_J |a(t,u)| (D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t) + D_{2^i}(x \dot{+} t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1})) \\
 & \times (D_{2^i}(y \dot{+} u) + D_{2^i}(y \dot{+} u \dot{+} 2^{-k-1})) dt du.
 \end{aligned}$$

With the method used in Step 1 we can verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{[0,1] \setminus J^r} \int_{[0,1] \setminus J^r} (A)^p dx dy & \leq C_p 2^{-Kp} \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^{jp} \sum_{i=j}^{K-1} 2^{-Lp} \sum_{k=0}^{L-r-1} 2^{kp} \sum_{l=k}^{L-1} \\
 & \quad 2^{ip} 2^{lp} 2^{-i} 2^{-l} 2^{-Kp/2-Lp/2+K+L} 2^{-Kp/2-Lp/2} \\
 & \leq C_p 2^{-r\delta} \tag{23}
 \end{aligned}$$

with $\delta := 4p - 2$ if $4/5 < p < 1$ and $\delta := 2\gamma - 1$ ($1/2 < \gamma < 1$) if $p = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{[0,1] \setminus J^r} \int_{[0,1] \setminus J^r} (B)^p dx dy & \leq C_p 2^{-Kp} \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^{jp} \sum_{i=j}^{K-1} \sum_{k=0}^{L-r-1} 2^{kp} \sum_{l=L}^{\infty} 2^{-lp} \\
 & \quad 2^{ip} 2^{lp} 2^{-i} 2^{-L} 2^{-Kp/2-Lp/2+K+L} 2^{-Kp/2-Lp/2} \\
 & \leq C_p 2^{-r\delta} \tag{24}
 \end{aligned}$$

with $\delta := 3p - 1$ if $4/5 < p < 1$ and $\delta := \gamma + 1/2$ if $p = 1$. The estimation of (C) is similar. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1] \setminus J^r} \int_{[0,1] \setminus J^r} (D)^p dx dy &\leq C_p \sum_{j=0}^{K-r-1} 2^{jp} \sum_{i=K}^{\infty} 2^{-ip} \sum_{k=0}^{L-r-1} 2^{kp} \sum_{l=L}^{\infty} 2^{-lp} \\ &\quad 2^{ip} 2^{lp} 2^{-K} 2^{-L} 2^{-Kp/2 - Lp/2 + K + L} 2^{-ip/2 - lp/2} \\ &\leq C_p 2^{-2rp}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Step 3: integrating over $I^r \times ([0, 1] \setminus J^r)$. This case is analogue to Step 1.

Combining (22)–(25) we can establish that

$$\int_{[0,1]^2 \setminus R^r} |\sigma^* a(x, y)|^p dx dy \leq C_p 2^{-r\delta}$$

where $\delta := 5p/2 - 2 > 0$ if $4/5 < p < 1$ and $\delta := \gamma - 1/2$ ($1/2 < \gamma < 1$) if $p = 1$. The proof of the theorem is complete. ■

Note that, in the one-parameter case, (20) was proved by Fujii [12] for $p = q = 1$ (see also Schipp and Simon [22]), (21) by Schipp [21], and, moreover, in the two-parameter case, (21) was shown by Móricz, *et al.* [18] for the $L \log L$ class instead of $H_1^\#$. This theorem concerning the maximal Cesàro operators restricted on a cone can be found in Weisz [25] (see also Móricz, *et al.* [18]).

By (16) it is easy to show that the two-dimensional Walsh polynomials are dense in $H_1^\#$. Hence (21) and the usual density argument (see Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [16]) imply

COROLLARY 2. *If $f \in H_1^\#$ then*

$$\sigma_{n,m} f \rightarrow f \quad \text{a.e. as } \min(n, m) \rightarrow \infty.$$

Considering the operator σ and (18) one can extend Theorem 2 to every $2/3 < p < \infty$. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3 and is left to the reader.

THEOREM 4. *There are absolute constants $C_{p,q}$ such that*

$$\|\sigma f\|_{p,q} \leq C_{p,q} \|f\|_{H_{p,q}} \quad (f \in H_{p,q})$$

for every $2/3 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$.

REFERENCES

1. C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, "Interpolation of Operators," Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 129, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
2. J. Bergh and J. Löfström, "Interpolation Spaces, an Introduction," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1976.
3. A. Bernard, Espaces H_1 de martingales à deux indices. Dualité avec les martingales de type BMO , *Bull. Sci. Math.* **103** (1979), 297–303.
4. J. Brossard, Comparaison des "normes" L_p du processus croissant et de la variable maximale pour les martingales régulières à deux indices. Théorème local correspondant, *Ann. of Probab.* **8** (1980), 1183–1188.
5. J. Brossard, Régularité des martingales à deux indices et inégalités de normes, in "Processus aléatoires à deux indices," Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 863, pp. 91–121, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1981.
6. R. Cairoli, Une inégalité pour martingale à indices multiples et ses applications, in "Séminaire de probabilités, IV," Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 124, pp. 1–27, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1970.
7. S.-Y. A. Chang and R. Fefferman, Some recent developments in Fourier analysis and H^p -theory on product domains, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1985), 1–43.
8. R. Fefferman, Calderon-Zygmund theory for product domains: H^p spaces, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 840–843.
9. N. J. Fine, Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **41** (1955), 558–591.
10. N. J. Fine, On the Walsh functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **65** (1949), 372–414.
11. N. E. Frangos and P. Imkeller, Quadratic variation for a class of $L \log^+ L$ -bounded two-parameter martingales. *Ann. of Probab.* **15** (1987), 1097–1111.
12. N. Fujii, A maximal inequality for H^1 -functions on a generalized Walsh-Paley group, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **77** (1979), 111–116.
13. A. M. Garsia, "Martingale Inequalities," Seminar Notes on Recent Progresses in Math. Lecture Notes Series, Benjamin, New York, 1973.
14. P. Imkeller, "Two-parameter Martingales and Their Quadratic Variation," Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1308, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1988.
15. J.-L. Journé, Calderon-Zygmund operators on product spaces, *Math. Iberoamericana* **1** (1985), 55–91.
16. J. Marcinkiewicz and A. Zygmund, On the summability of double Fourier series, *Fund. Math.* **32** (1939), 122–132.
17. C. Metraux, Quelques inégalités pour martingales à paramètre bidimensionnel, in "Séminaire de Probabilités, XII," Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 649, pp. 170–179, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1978.
18. F. Móricz, F. Schipp, and W. R. Wade, Cesàro summability of double Walsh-Fourier series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **329** (1992), 131–140.
19. J. Neveu, "Discrete-Parameter Martingales," North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971.
20. J. Pipher, Journé's covering lemma and its extension to higher dimensions, *Duke Math. J.* **53** (1986), 683–690.
21. F. Schipp, Über gewissen Maximaloperatoren, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Sect. Math.* **18** (1975), 189–195.
22. F. Schipp and P. Simon, On some (H, L_1) -type maximal inequalities with respect to the Walsh-Paley system, in "35th Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, Functions, Series, and Operators, Budapest, Hungary, 1980," pp. 1039–1045, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981.
23. F. Schipp, W. R. Wade, P. Simon, and J. Pál, "Walsh Series: An Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis," Bristol/New York, Adam Hilger, 1990.

24. W. R. Wade, A growth estimate for Cesàro partial sums of multiple Walsh–Fourier series, in “49th Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, Alfred Haar Memorial Conference, Budapest, Hungary, 1985,” pp. 975–991, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
25. F. Weisz, Cesàro summability of two-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **348** (1996), 2169–2181.
26. F. Weisz, Interpolation between two-parameter martingale Hardy spaces, the real method, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **115** (1991), 253–264.
27. F. Weisz, “Martingale Hardy Spaces and Their Applications in Fourier-Analysis,” Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1568, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1994.
28. F. Weisz, Strong summability of two-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **60** (1995), 779–803.
29. T. H. Wolf, “A Note on Interpolations Spaces,” Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 908, pp. 199–204, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1982.
30. A. Zygmund, “Trigonometric Series,” Cambridge Press, London, 1959.